## STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Petitions of BNE Energy Inc. for a
Declaratory Ruling for the Location,
Construction and Operation of 4.8 MW
Wind Renewable Generating Projects on
Flagg Hill Road in Colebrook,
Connecticut ("Wind Colebrook South")
and Winsted-Norfolk Road in Colebrook,
Connecticut ("Wind Colebrook North")



April 19, 2011

## SUPPLEMENTAL PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF WILSON H. FAUDE

- Q21. You have previously filed testimony in this proceeding. Why are you submitting this supplemental testimony?
- A21. Since I filed my pre-filed testimony, I have been informed that the State Historic Preservation Office has rescinded the "No Effect" stamp previously attached to BNE's petitions and discussed in my pre-filed testimony on pages 3-4.

I also want to clarify two potential misunderstandings associated with my earlier testimony: first, that I was retained by Reid and Riege, P.C., on behalf of not only FairwindCT, Inc., but also on behalf of Susan Wagner and Stella and Michael Somers; and second, that with respect to a photograph that I included on page 31 of my pre-filed testimony, I understand that the proposed wind turbine will not be placed directly on the site currently occupied by the driving range, but rather will be in that general vicinity.

- Q22. How did you find out about the SHPO decision to rescind the "No Effect" stamp?
- A22. I was provided by counsel for FairwindCT a letter dated March 21, 2011, issued by the SHPO and indicating that, in light of the information provided to the SHPO regarding the potential effects of the proposed turbines on Rock Hall and the fact that the petitioner's

consultant had not responded to the SHPO's request for additional information, the SHPO was rescinding its prior determination of no effect pending its receipt and evaluation of any new information related to Rock Hall. It is my understanding that since the SHPO's rescission, BNE has not withdrawn the relevant exhibits or corrected the record to reflect that its Exhibits purportedly establishing a "No Effect" determination are no longer valid.

## Q23. In light of this new information, has the opinion reflected in your pre-filed testimony changed?

No, it has not. While the SHPO apparently has recognized that its prior determination of no effect was mistaken, in my opinion, the evidence in the record indicates that siting the proposed turbines will unquestionably have an <u>adverse effect</u> on the historical and cultural resources in the area, including Rock Hall.

The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

04/19/11	/s/ Wilson H. Faude	
Date	Wilson H. Faude	_

## **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was delivered by first-class mail and e-mail to the following service list on the 19th day of April, 2011:

Carrie L. Larson
Paul Corey
Jeffery and Mary Stauffer
Thomas D. McKeon
David M. Cusick
Richard T. Roznoy
David R. Lawrence and Jeannie Lemelin
Walter Zima and Brandy L. Grant
Eva Villanova

and sent via e-mail only to:

John R. Morissette Christopher R. Bernard Joaquina Borges King

John W. Larson